

#### Therapeutic Drug Concentration Monitoring (TDM) of Vancomycin

Jeannie Chan, PharmD, MPH UW Medicine | Harborview Medical Center

November 27, 2018





- 1. Rationale and evidence for vancomycin serum concentration monitoring
- 2. Implication of targeting vancomycin serum trough concentration of 10-20 mcg/mL
- 3. Potential nephrotoxicity associated with vancomycin



### Earlier Vancomycin Compound "Mississippi Mud"





## Historical Look at Vancomycin

- Standard dose: 1gm IV Q12H
- Serum concentration monitoring secondary to impurity of the product
- Both peak and trough serum concentrations
  - Targeted peak level: 30-40 mcg/mL
  - Targeted trough level: 5-10 mcg/mL



## **PK/PD Parameters**

Pattern of killing activity	Drugs	Major PK-PD parameters
Concentration- dependent	Aminoglycosides Fluoroquinolones	Cmax/MIC
Time-dependent	β-lactams	Time above MIC, duration of <i>f</i> T>MIC
Time-dependent	Vancomycin	Time above MIC, 24h AUC/MIC



## **PK/PD Parameters**



#### AUC = Area under the curve, MIC = Minimal inhibitory concentration

Santos Filho L et al. Braz J Microbiol. 2007 Apr/June;38(2):183-193. Meagher AK et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007 Jun;51(6):1939-45. Craig WA. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2003 Sep;17(3):479-501.



## 2009 ASHP/IDSA Guidelines

AUC:MIC ratio > 400 is most predictive of clinical effectiveness

- <u>Target troughs</u> most practical monitoring method as a surrogate marker
  - <u>></u> 10mcg/mL to minimize emergence of *S. aureus* resistance
  - 15-20 mcg/mL for bacteremia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, meningitis, and pneumonia caused by S. aureus



## **Clinical Implications**

- Suboptimal vancomycin dosing has been suggested as alternative explanation for poorer outcomes
- Guidelines widely integrated into clinical practice
- More intensified vancomycin dosing to target trough level of 15-20 mcg/mL



### Vancomycin Induced Nephrotoxicity

Larger Vancomycin Doses (at Least Four Grams per Day) Are Associated with an Increased Incidence of Nephrotoxicity<sup>⊽</sup>

Thomas P. Lodise,<sup>1,2</sup>\* Ben Lomaestro,<sup>3</sup> Jeffrey Graves,<sup>1</sup> and G. L. Drusano<sup>2</sup>

Albany College of Pharmacy, Albany, New York<sup>1</sup>; Ordway Research Institute, Albany, New York<sup>2</sup>; and Albany Medical Center Hospital, Albany, New York<sup>3</sup>

Relationship between Initial Vancomycin Concentration-Time Profile and Nephrotoxicity among Hospitalized Patients

Thomas P. Lodise,<sup>1,2</sup> Nimish Patel,<sup>1</sup> Ben M. Lomaestro,<sup>3</sup> Keith A. Rodvold,<sup>4</sup> and George L. Drusano<sup>2</sup>

A Retrospective Analysis of Possible Renal Toxicity Associated with Vancomycin in Patients with Health Care–Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aurea Pneumonia

Meghan N. Jeffres, PharmD<sup>1</sup>; Warren Isakow, MD<sup>2</sup>; Joshua A. Doherty, BS<sup>3</sup>; Scott T. Micek, PharmD<sup>1</sup>; and Marin H. Kollef, MD<sup>2</sup>



### Reports of Vancomycin Nephrotoxicity Increasing.....





Nolin TD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 11: 2101–2103, 2016. doi: 10.2215/CJN.11011016

### Meta-Analysis of Vancomycin-Induced Nephrotoxicity

	High troughs a	≥15mg/L	Low trough <	<15mg/L		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Bosso et al. (21)	42	142	13	146	9.8%	4.30 [2.19, 8.43]	
Cano et al. (22)	22	89	7	99	7.2%	4.32 [1.74, 10.69]	
Chung et al. (23)	12	25	16	48	6.5%	1.85 [0.69, 4.96]	
Hermsen et al. (30)	5	16	4	39	3.6%	3.98 [0.91, 17.46]	
Hidayat et al. (13)	11	63	0	32	1.1%	14.24 [0.81, 249.87]	
Jeffres et al. (15)	27	49	13	45	7.7%	3.02 [1.28, 7.11]	_ <b></b>
Kralovicova et al. (31)	21	60	29	138	9.8%	2.02 [1.04, 3.96]	
Kullar et al. (32)	8	116	1	84	2.0%	6.15 [0.75, 50.13]	
Kullar et al. (8)	27	139	23	141	10.6%	1.24 [0.67, 2.28]	- <del>-</del>
Lodise et al. (36)	7	27	14	139	6.2%	3.13 [1.12, 8.69]	
McKarny et al. (38)	16	57	8	110	7.0%	4.98 [1.98, 12.52]	
Minejima et al. (39)	17	72	25	155	9.6%	1.61 [0.80, 3.21]	+
Prabaker et al. (43)	7	54	24	294	7.3%	1.68 [0.68, 4.11]	
Wunderink et al. (50)	26	118	24	215	10.7%	2.25 [1.22, 4.13]	
Zimmermann et al. (51)	8	12	0	33	1.0%	126.56 [6.19, 2585.90]	
Total (95% CI)		1039		1718	100.0%	2.67 [1.95, 3.65]	•
Total events	256		201				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.14	; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 23.89, d	f= 14 (P = 0	).05); I <sup>2</sup> = 41%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 8	6.13 (P < 0.0000	1)					Low troughs <15mg/l High troughs >15mg/l
					<u> </u>		Lon adagno fromgre ringi adagno Eromgre
				dds	Kat	10 = 2.67	



# Incidence of Vancomycin Nephrotoxicity with Rising Trough Levels



S. J. van Hal et al. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2013; doi:10.1128/AAC.01568-12

### Piperacillin/Tazobactam and Vancomycin

Clinical Infectious Diseases





#### Increasing Evidence of the Nephrotoxicity of Piperacillin/ Tazobactam and Vancomycin Combination Therapy— What Is the Clinician to Do?

Richard R. Watkins<sup>1,2</sup> and Stan Deresinski<sup>3</sup>

Retrospective cohort study of hospitalized patients reported a two fold increased risk of nephrotoxicity in the setting of concomitant vanco and pip/tazo (16.3% vs. 8.1%) among patients treated with vanco alone

Burgess LD, et al. Pharmacotherapy 2014; 34:670-676



### Fast Forward to 2015

#### **AHA Scientific Statement**

#### Infective Endocarditis in Adults: Diagnosis, Antimicrobial Therapy, and Management of Complications A Scientific Statement for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association

#### Table 10. Therapy for NVE Caused by Staphylococci

Regimen	Dose* and Route	Duration, wk	Strength of Recommendation	Comments
Oxacillin-susceptible strains				
Nafcillin or oxacillin	12 g/24 h IV in 4–6 equally divided doses	6	Class I; Level of Evidence C	For complicated right-sided IE and for left-sided IE; for uncomplicated right-sided IE, 2 wk (see text).
For penicillin-allergic (nonanaphylactoid type) patients				Consider skin testing for oxacillin-susceptible staphylococci and questionable history of immediate- type hypersensitivity to penicillin.
Cefazolin*	6 g/24 h IV in 3 equally divided doses	6	Class I; Level of Evidence B	Cephalosporins should be avoided in patients with anaphylactoid-type hypersensitivity to β-lactams; vancomycln should be used in these cases.
Oxacillin-resistant strains				
Vancomycin§	30 mg/kg per 24 h IV in 2 equally divided doses	6	Class I; Level of Evidence C	Adjust vancomycin dose to achieve trough concentration of 10–20 $\mu$ g/mL (see text for vancomycin alternatives).
Daptomycin	≥8 mg/kg/dose	6	Class IIb; Level of Evidence B	Await additional study data to define optimal dosing.

\*Doses recommended are for patients with normal renal function.

§For specific dosing adjustment and issues concerning vancomycin, se

Circulation. published online September 15, 2015; Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Copyright © 2015 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

## Do pharmacists have collaborative drug therapy agreement for vancomycin at your institution?

Yes No Not sure



## What vancomycin trough concentration does your institution target?

10-20 mcg/mL 15-20 mcg/mL Not sure



### **2016 UW Medicine Guidelines**

Organism	Targeted trough concentration	Comments
Staphylococcus aureus	10-20 mcg/ml	Trough range of 10-20 mcg/ml is sufficient for bacteremia, endocarditis, pneumonia, meningitis, or osteomyelitis.
Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus species or Enterococcus species	10-20 mcg/ml	Trough range of 10-20 mcg/ml is sufficient for bacteremia, endocarditis, pneumonia, meningitis, or osteomyelitis.
Empiric therapy when suspected organisms unknown (including neutropenic fever)	10-20 mcg/ml	Consider initial target trough range of 10- 20 mcg/ml for empiric therapy in patients with suspected infection.



### Let's examine the evidence....

#### Therapeutic monitoring of vancomycin in adult patients: A consensus review of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, the Infectious Diseases Society of America, and the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists

MICHAEL RYBAK, BEN LOMAESTRO, JOHN C. ROTSCHAFER, ROBERT MOELLERING JR., WILLIAM CRAIG, MARIANNE BILLETER, JOSEPH R. DALOVISIO, AND DONALD P. LEVINE

Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2009; 66:82-98



#### Table 1. Definitions of Levels and Grades for Recommendations<sup>13</sup>

Quality Indicator	Type of Evidence		
Level of evidence			
Ι	Evidence from at least one properly randomized, controlled trial		
Ι	Evidence from at least one well-designed clinical trial, without randomization; from cohort or case-controlled analytic studies (preferably from more than one center); from multiple time series; or from dramatic results from uncontrolled experiments		
III	Evidence from opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees		
Grade of recommendation			
A	Good evidence to support a recommendation for use		
В	Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for use		
C	Poor evidence to support a recommendation		

#### **Expert Panel Recommendations for Vancomycin Therapeutic Drug Monitoring Recommendation** Level of Evidence Variable Recommended TDM Parameters Therapeutic vancomycin drug Optimal monitoring parameter Trough serum vancomycin concentrations are the most IIB accurate and practical method for monitoring efficacy. monitoring, Peak versus trough concentrations Timing of monitoring comycin drug Trough concentrations of 15 – 20 mg/L are eak versus trough s recommended to improve penetration, increase the comycin drug **Optimal trough** ptimal trough probability of obtaining optimal target serum s concentrations concentrations, and improve clinical outcomes. Optimal trough concentration comycin drug complicated infections (bacteremia, ptimal trough endocarditis, osteomyelitis, meningit and hospital-acquired pneumonia concentrations, and improve clinical outcomes. caused by Staphylococcus aureus) Dosing Regimen Doses of 15 – 20 mg/kg given q 8-12 hr are Dosing to achieve optimal mycin drug timal trough **Dosing Regimens** recommended for most patients...to achieve the targeted AUC:MIC > 400 Loading doses—complicated mycin drug infections (based on actual body weight) can be used to facilitate monitoring, Optimal trough rapid attainment of target trough serum vancomycin concentrations concentration. Continuous vs. intermittent Continuous infusion regimens are unlikely to substantially IIA Impact of dosing strategies improve patient outcome when compared to intermittent on pharmacokinetic and dosing dosing. pharmacodynamic parameters TDM for Vancomycin-Induced Nephrotoxicity Definition A minimum of two or three consecutive documented increases IIB Vancomycin toxicity; Incidence, in serum creatinine concentrations (defined as an increase mechanism, and definition of of 0.5 mg/dL or a ≥50% increase from baseline, whichever is nephrotoxicity greater) after several days of vancomycin therapy.

Expert Panel Recommendations for Vancomycin Therapeutic Drug Monitoring					
Variable	Recommendation Level of I	Evidence			
Recommended TDM Parameters Optimal monitoring parameter	Trough serum vancomycin concentrations are the most accurate and practical method for monitoring efficacy.	IIB	Therapeutic vancomycin drug monitoring, Peak versus trough concentrations		
Timing of monitoring	For a pathogen with an MIC of 1	mg/L. the	nycin drug		
Optimal trough concentrations	trough concentration would have mg/L to generate the target AUC/	to be at MIC of 4	least 15 00.		
Optimal trough concentration— complicated infections (bacteremia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, meningiti and hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> )	are recommended to improve penetration, increase is, the probability of obtaining optimal target serum concentrations, and improve dinical outcomes.		nycin drug monitoring, Optimal trough concentrations		
Dosing Regimen Dosing to achieve optimal trough concentrations	Doses of 15–20 mg/kg (as actual body weight) given every 8–12 hr are recommended for most patients with normal renal function to achieve the suggested serum concentrations when the MIC is ≤1 mg/L. In patients with normal renal function, the targeted AUC:MIC of >400 is not achievable with conventional dosing methods if the MIC is ≥2 mg/L in a patient with normal renal function.	IIIB	Therapeutic vancomycin drug monitoring, Optimal trough concentrations		
Loading doses—complicated infections	In seriously ill patients, a loading dose of 25–30 mg/kg (based on actual body weight) can be used to facilitate rapid attainment of target trough serum vancomycin concentration.	IIIB	Therapeutic vancomycin drug monitoring, Optimal trough concentrations		
Continuous vs. intermittent dosing	Continuous infusion regimens are unlikely to substantially improve patient outcome when compared to intermittent dosing.	IIA	Impact of dosing strategies on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters		
TDM for Vancomycin-Induced Nephrotoxi Definition	city A minimum of two or three consecutive documented increases in serum creatinine concentrations (defined as an increase of 0.5 mg/dL or a ≥50% increase from baseline, whichever is greater) after several days of vancomycin therapy.	IIB	Vancomycin toxicity; Incidence, mechanism, and definition of nephrotoxicity		

#### \_\_\_\_\_

V

Impact of Vancomycin Exposure on Outcomes in Patients with Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia: Support for **Consensus Guidelines Suggested Targets** Predictors of Mortality for Methicillin-Ravina Kull Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Health-Care–Associated Pneumonia\* Specific Evaluation of Vancomycin Н Pharmacokinetic Indices Journal of Intensive Care Medicine 26(6) 385-391 S Clinic Meghan N. Jeffres, PharmD; Warren Isakow, MD; Joshua A. Doherty, BS; © The Author(s) 2011 Reprints and permission: Peggy S. McKinnon, PharmD; David J. Ritchie, PharmD; Scott T. Micek, PharmD; and Marin H. Kollef, MD, FCCP sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav Vance DOI: 10.1177/0885066610392893 Ef http://jicm.sagepub.com Staphylococcus aureus Ventilator-Associated (S)SAGE <sup>Le</sup><sub>Ki</sub> Pneumonia: Retrospective Analysis

Jeannie D. Chan, PharmD, MPH<sup>1</sup>, Tam N. Pham, MD<sup>2</sup>, Jenny Wong, PharmD<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Hessel, PharmD<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Cuschieri, MD<sup>2</sup>, Margaret Neff, MD, MS<sup>3</sup>, and Timothy H. Dellit, MD<sup>4</sup>

Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia

Evan C. Clemens<sup>a</sup>, Jeannie D. Chan<sup>a,\*</sup>, John B. Lynch<sup>b</sup>, Timothy H. Dellit<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Harborview Medical Center and School of Pharmacy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98104, USA <sup>b</sup>Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Harborview Medical Center and School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98104, USA

Received 29 April 2011; accepted 1 August 2011



## Summary of clinical data

- Retrospective observational studies
- Multiple studies have <u>NOT</u> demonstrated a correlation between trough level > 15 mcg/mL with clinical efficacy
- RCT of linezolid vs. vancomycin in MRSA pneumonia, trough level > 15 mcg/mL was <u>NOT</u> associated with improved clinical response



#### High-Dose Vancomycin Therapy for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Infections

Efficacy and Toxicity

Levita K. Hidayat, PharmD; Donald I. Hsu, PharmD; Ryan Quist, PhD; Kimberly A. Shriner, MD; Annie Wong-Beringer, PharmD

- Retrospective cohort of 95 patients with MRSA infections (77% with pneumonia and/or bacteremia)
- Primary Endpoint: clinical response, mortality, and nephrotoxicity
- Subgroup: high vs. low MIC (> 2 vs. <2 mcg/mL) and vancomycin level (> 15 vs. <15 mcg/mL)</li>



## High MIC is less responsive to vancomycin despite achieving trough of $\geq$ 15 µg/mL



Figure 2. Final response based on target trough achievement. Evaluation was based on 86 patients (9 patients were excluded because of change in therapy from vancomycin hydrochloride). MIC indicates minimum inhibitory concentration.



#### Influence of Vancomycin Minimum Inhibitory Concentration on the Treatment of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteremia

Alex Soriano,<sup>1</sup> Francesc Marco,<sup>2</sup> José A. Martínez,<sup>1</sup> Elena Pisos,<sup>1</sup> Manel Almela,<sup>2</sup> Veselka P. Dimova,<sup>2</sup> Dolores Alamo,<sup>2</sup> Mar Ortega,<sup>1</sup> Josefina Lopez,<sup>1</sup> and Josep Mensa<sup>1</sup> Departments of <sup>1</sup>Infectious Diseases and <sup>2</sup>Microbiology, Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain Clinical Infectious Diseases 2008;46:193–200

- Retrospective review of 414 episodes of MRSA bacteremia from 1991 to 2005 at an University hospital
- Primary Endpoint: predictors for mortality



Table 5. Factors independently associated with mortality in alogistic regression model of patients with episodes of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia.

Factor	OR (95% CI)	Р
Age, per year	1.02 (1.00–1.04)	.013
Receipt of corticosteroids	1.85 (1.04–3.29)	.034
Prognosis of underlying disease		
Nonfatal	1	
Rapidly fatal	1.81 (1.06–3.10)	.029
Ultimately fatal	10.2 (2.85–36.8)	<.001
Source of bacteremia		
Low risk	1	
Intermediate risk	2.18 (1.17–4.04)	.014
High risk	3.60 (1.89–6.88)	<.001
Treatment group		
VMIC1	1	
VMIC1.5	2.86 (0.87–9.35)	.08
VMIC2	6.39 (1.68–24.3)	<.001
NA	3.62 (1.20–10.9)	<.001
Shock	7.38 (4.11–13.3)	<.001



# MRSA isolates with elevated vancomycin MIC

- Increasing evidence that MRSA isolates with vancomycin MIC > 1 mcg/ml are associated with higher rate of treatment failure
- Ineffective vancomycin treatment vs. inherent microbiologic characteristics of the organism?



#### Antibiotic Choice May Not Explain Poorer Outcomes in Patients With *Staphylococcus aureus* Bacteremia and High Vancomycin Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations

Natasha E. Holmes,<sup>1</sup> John D. Turnidge,<sup>2,3</sup> Wendy J. Munckhof,<sup>4,5</sup> James O. Robinson,<sup>6</sup> Tony M. Korman,<sup>7,8</sup> Matthew V. N. O'Sullivan,<sup>9</sup> Tara L. Anderson,<sup>10,11</sup> Sally A. Roberts,<sup>2</sup> Wei Gao,<sup>12</sup> Keryn J. Christiansen,<sup>13,14</sup> Geoffrey W. Coombs,<sup>13</sup> Paul D. R. Johnson,<sup>1,15,16,a</sup> and Benjamin P. Howden<sup>1,12,15,17,a</sup>

The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2011;204:340–47

- Retrospective review of 532 patients with S. aureus bacteremia (both MRSA and MSSA) bacteremia from 8 hospitals
- Primary Endpoint: 30-day all cause mortality



High MIC is associated with increased mortality regardless of methicillin resistance, even in patients with MSSA bacteremia treated with flucloxacillin





### Conclusion

- Serum concentration vs. AUC monitoring
- Peak serum concentration are not necessary
- Maintain trough > 10 mcg/mL to decrease emergence of resistance
- Consider target range of 10-20 mcg/mL



### Conclusion

- Targeted trough level established S. aureus
  - GPC other than MRSA
  - Site of infection
- Trough of 15-20 mcg/mL is not absolute with increased risk of nephrotoxicity
- Concomitant medications that are potential nephrotoxins
- Avoid chasing numbers, consider drug accumulation over time

