

December 20, 2022

Drug Compatibilities and Sepsis

Whitney Hartlage Rupali Jain



Saline vs Lactated Ringers for Sepsis

Maybe Saline?





What is IV drug compatibility?

Compatibility information is based on four ratings:		
Compatible	 No change in visible or electronically determined particulates, haziness or turbidity, frank precipitation, color, or evolution of a gas Chemically stable: less than 10% loss of intact drug for at least 24 hours under the conditions being tested 	Extension hook Piggyback set
Incompatible	 A change in visible or electronically (even if not visible) particulates, haziness, or turbidity, frank precipitation, color or gas evolution occurred. 	Primary set Piggyback Y-port (with backcheck valve)
Uncertain or Variable	Research results do not fit conventional guidelines, apply judgement in using these results	Secondary Y-port (to serve secondary set)
No data	No data for administration methods chosen	

Consequences of incompatibility

- Drug incompatibilities can lead to:
 - Reduced drug activity or inactivity
 - Formation of new toxic or nontoxic active ingredient
 - Increased toxicity of one or more of the involved drugs
 - Significant consequences including: multiorgan failure, severe liver dysfunction, toxic shock, local embolus, myocarditis, respiratory difficulties, systemic allergic reactions, local allergic reactions, thrombosis, thrombophlebitis, phlebitis, and local redness.

Marsilio. Drug incompatibilities in the adult intensive care unit of a university hospital. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 2016.

Braun B. Drug incompatibility risk prevention in infusion therapy. Eur J Clin Pharm. 2004;59:815–7.

Murney P. To mix or not to mix – Compatibilities of parenteral drug solutions. Aust Prescr. 2008;31:98–101.

Newton DW. Drug incompatibility chemistry. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2009;66:348-57.

Trissel LA. Handbook on Injectable Drugs. Bethesda, Maryland: American Society of Hospital Pharmacists, Inc; 1994.

Lisa C. Compatibility of Commonly Used Intravenous Drugs. Pharmacy Practice News. 2011

Rosenthal K. One size doesn't fit all and other perils of IV administration. Nurs Made Incredibly Easy. 2006;4:18–21.

Morris ME. Compatibility and stability of diazepam injection following dilution with intravenous fluids. Am J Hosp Pharm. 1978;35:669–72.



Many challenges with IV drug compatibility data

IV drug compatibility and stability information is <u>scarce</u>, <u>outdated</u>, <u>and</u> <u>inconsistent</u>

• No consensus on which tests should be performed to check for incompatibility

Different studies apply different methods, and the results are interpreted relative to their test set

• Observation with matt black panel, automatic particle count or turbidimetry, optic microscopy, spectrophotometry, turbidimetry, visual inspection, gas formation, pH change and measurements, precipitate formation, color change, light obscuration, dynamic light scattering, <u>and MORE!</u>

Variety of dosage forms and formulations

- Product availability varies depending on country of origin
- Need to consider drug concentration, duration of stability, condition studied in (ex. temperature), and inactive ingredients

Multiple factors should be considered before concurrently administering two or more drugs

Dave, et al. AAPS Formulation Design and Development. 2015. Kanji, et al. Crit Care Med. 2010. Lao, et al. Medicina intensiva. 2019. Staven, et al. Nutrition Journal. 2015.



Ceftriaxone and LR



...But why?





Calcium and Ceftriaxone

- 2007 FDA warning
 - Ceftriaxone and calcium containing products should NOT be coadministered to any patients due to risk of precipitation based on report of fatality in neonates.
- FDA retracted warning in 2009
 - Based on in vitro studies assessing precipitation of ceftriaxone and calcium-containing products in vials and infusion lines of both neonatal and adult plasma
- New recommendation: ceftriaxone and calcium-containing products may be sequentially administered in patients older than 28 days if the infusion lines are flushed between infusions.
 - •Removed alert from the OR at UW Medicine



Ceftriaxone Package Insert

Interaction with Calcium-Containing Products

- **Do not use diluents containing calcium** to reconstitute Rocephin vials or to further dilute for IV administration because a precipitate can form.
- **Precipitation of ceftriaxone-calcium** can also occur when Rocephin is mixed with calcium-containing solutions in the same IV administration line.
 - Rocephin must not be administered simultaneously with calcium-containing IV solutions, including continuous calcium-containing infusions such as parenteral nutrition via a Y-site.
- However, in patients other than neonates, Rocephin and calcium-containing solutions may be <u>administered sequentially</u> of one another if the infusion lines are thoroughly flushed between infusions with a compatible fluid.



What is in LR?

TABLE 1.

COMPOSITION OF 1 LITER OF SELECTED BALANCED FLUID SOLUTIONS.

DRUG	LACTATED RINGERS (LR)	PLASMA- LYTE A
Acetate	-	27
Calcium	3	-
Chloride	109	98
Gluconate	-	23
Lactate	28	-
Magnesium	-	3
Potassium	4	5
Sodium	130	140

^Units expressed in mEq/L

• Does ceftriaxone pose a compatibility issue with LR given small amount of Ca+?



Pip/tazo and LR



...But why?





If pip/tazo has EDTA, then compatible with LR

<u>Compatibility of piperacillin-tazobactam and lactated ringer's</u>: dependent on whether the pip/tazo formulation contains the inactive ingredient edetate disodium dihydrate (EDTA) \rightarrow stabilizing excipient



NOTE: most generic products are marketed <u>without EDTA</u>, therefore, are <u>incompatible with LR</u>.

<u>**Conclusion:**</u> look at product specific Package Insert, ensure that formulations with EDTA are the only forms of the drug mixed with this diluent



Parenteral Antimicrobial Y-Site Compatibility Chart Piperacillin-tazobactam AmpicIlin-sulbactam Metronidazole Ciprofloxacin Caspofungin Levofloxacin Meropenem Vancomycin Fluconazole Tobramycin Ceftriaxone Ertapenem Aztreonam Ampicillin Cefepime Linezolid Ampicillin υ U U С С С U U Т Т Ampicllin-sulbactam U С U U С С С U U Т 1 U С С С С С С С С С С U υ 1 Aztreonam Caspofungin С С Т С С С С υ Т С С Т L н Cefepime С С U U С Т С С С С С н U Ceftriaxone U υ С н U С С С н С Ciprofloxacin С С С С С ♠ С С Т Т Т С Т С С С С С С С Ertapenem С С С С С С С Fluconazole С С С С С U U Levofloxacin С С С с С С С С С С С Т С Linezolid С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С Meropenem С Π. С С С С С С С Metronidazole С С U С С С С С С С С Т Piperacillin-tazobactam С С С С С U Т н Т С С С Tobramycin U υ С С С С С С С Т Т Vancomycin U С С С U U U С U С С С С U С С С U С С С С С С С С Dextrose 5% in Water* U 1 1 1 С С U С С С U C С С 0.9% Sodium Chloride* С С С U U С С С С С С Lactated Rinaer's U U 11 С *Compatibility information derived from administration in solution, not Y-site Compatible С Uncertain or variable U Reference: Trissel's 2 Clinical Pharmaceutics Database, accessed 6/6/2020 Created by Emily Kaip, PGY1 Pharmacy Resident Incompatible

Check your product for EDTA!

No data

Questions? kendall.gross@ucsf.edu

Drug Compatibility Chart for Code Sepsis Drugs | Infectious Diseases Management Program at UCSF



Solution to the Solution

BE CREATIVE & INDIVIDUALIZE

✓ Hold IVF until Antibiotics are done ✓ Flush before and after

✓ Use separate line for IVF and Antibiotics ✓ If available

✓ Choose Antibiotic based compatibility

✓ Choose NS over LR

Could we change our practice? ✓ IV Push for antibiotics



Effect of IV Push Antibiotic Administration on Antibiotic Therapy Delays in Sepsis

Alex J. Gregorowicz, PharmD¹; Patrick G. Costello, PharmD¹; David A. Gajdosik, PharmD²; John Purakal, MD³; Natasha N. Pettit, PharmD¹; Samantha Bastow, PharmD¹; Michael A. Ward, MD⁴

		Baseline Characteristics	
	Characteristics	IV Piggyback (n = 143)	IV Push (<i>n</i> = 131)
	Age (yr), median (IQR)	63.5 (50.7-74.7)	61 (52-75)
	Male gender, n (%)	65 (45.5)	51 (38.9)
	Body mass index (kg/m²), median (IQR)	25.7 (22.1-32.1)	25.8 (21.3-31.2)
	Initial serum creatinine, median (IQR)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)	1.3 (0.9–2.1)
	Acute kidney injury, n (%)	69 (48)	57 (44)
	Initial lactic acid, median (IQR)	2.4 (1.6–3.7)	2.5 (1.5-4.1)
Patients who did not receive β-lactam			
Within 1 hr, <i>n</i> (%)ª	82 (57.3)		58 (44.6)
Within 3 hr, <i>n</i> (%)ª	35 (24.5)		10 (7.6)
Before transfer from ED, n (%)	12 (8.4)		3 (2.3)
Clinical outcomes			
Time to first eta -lactam dose (min), median (IQR)ª	72 (8–180)		48 (19–96)
Time to broad-spectrum regimen (min), median (IQR)	114 (42–282)		108 (66–144)
	Clinical outcomes Time to first &-lactam dose (min), median (IOR)*	72 (8-180)	48 (19–96)
	Time to broad-spectrum regimen (min), median (IOR)	114 (42–282)	108 (66–144)
	Patients who did not receive β-lactam		
	Within 1 hr, n (%)ª	82 (57.3)	58 (44.6)
	Within 3 hr, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	35 (24.5)	10 (7.6)
	Before transfer from ED, n (%)	12 (8.4)	3 (2.3)
	Length of stay		
	ED (hr), median (IQR)	8.0 (6.1–10.2)	7.5 (6–9.7)
Crit Care Med	ICU (d), median (IQR)"	4.5 (2-6)	2.3 (1.0-2.6)
2020 Aug·48(8)·1175-1179	Hospital (d), median (IOR)	10.4 (4.3–11.8)	9.1 (3.9–10.1)
2020 / 00, 40 (0).11/3 11/3.	Overall mortality, n (%)	14 (9.7)	16 (12.2)
	Septic shock mortality, n (%)	11 (12.9)	13 (16)
	Adverse events, n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Evaluation of First-Dose, Intravenous Push Penicillins and Carbapenems in the Emergency Department

Journal of Pharmacy Practice 2022, Vol. 35(3) 369–376 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0897190020977758 journals.sagepub.com/home/jpp (\$)SAGE

Emmeline C. Academia, PharmD¹, Jordan E. Jenrette, BS, PharmD Candidate^{2,3}, Scott W. Mueller, PharmD^{3,4}, and Julie M. McLaughlin, PharmD^{2,5}

	Pre-intervention: IVPB N = 351	Post-intervention: IVP $N = 345$	P-value
ED arrival to administration, <60 min	42 (12)	70 (20)	<0.01
Ampicillin/sulbactam	10 (7)	25 (19)	<0.01
Piperacillin/tazobactam	28 (17)	39 (23)	NS
Ertapenem	4 (8)	6 (13)	NS
ED arrival to administration, <180 min	219 (62)	255 (75)	<0.01
Ampicillin/sulbactam	87 (64)	92 (72)	NS
Piperacillin/tazobactam	113 (68)	137 (81)	<0.01
Ertapenem	19 (40)	29 (62)	<0.01
Order to antibiotic administration, <60 min	219 (67)	296 (86)	<0.01
Ampicillin/sulbactam	99 (73)	112 (87)	<0.01
Pipercillin/tazobactam	114 (68)	145 (86)	<0.01
Ertapenem	23 (48)	39 (83)	<0.01

Table 4. Percentage of Orders Meeting 60- or 180-Minute Time Goals[▽].

¬Number (%).

UW Medicine approach- OB sepsis

Situation:

We have two campuses with OB services, we want align antibiotic choices for sepsis



Montlake Campus Pip-tazo Fluids: NS



NW Campus Amp-sulbactam Fluids: LR



PHYSICIAN'S ORDERS

FRED HUTCHINSON CANCER RESEARCH CENTER / SEATTLE CANCER CARE ALLIANCE Managing Sepsis in Adult BMT Patients in the SCCA Ambulatory Clinic



Time to Completion of Antibiotics (hours)

Discussion

How is your site approaching compatibility issues for your septic patients?

